

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/731,556	12/08/2003	Timothy Sporre	758.1631US01	5063
7590 03/31/2005			EXAMINER	
Merchant & Gould P.C.			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
P.O. Box 2903				
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- K	o/ <b>*</b>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/731,556	SPORRE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	oears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a. cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	•			
Disposition of Claims		<b>-</b> ₹.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application		<b>%</b>			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	1	en e			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	~ <u>.</u>				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		83 			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
701 000 0	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0325			

Art Unit: 1724

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on page 1, line 9, the serial number is missing. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach et al (6,149,716), in view of Elliott et al (6,129,852).

Bach et al disclose a filter cleaning system (1) comprising a fluid manifold (18), a valve (44) arranged in fluid communication with the fluid manifold, and a nozzle (col. 8, lines 29-31) configured to receive a fluid from the fluid manifold (18) (see Fig. 2; col. 8, lines 22-47). Claims 1-19 differ from the disclosure of Bach et al in that the nozzle

Art Unit: 1724

having a diffuser that separates the fluid jet into multiple jets. Elliott et al disclose a nozzle (9) including a diffuser (6) that separates the primary fluid jet into multiple jets (14, 18), and the diffuser arrangement located adjacent to the second end of nozzle body including a number of wedge constructions (see Figs. 9-12) (see col. 4, line 55 through col. 5, line 48; col. 6, lines 4-13 and lines 35-46). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a nozzle with multiple jets as taught by Elliott et al in the filter cleaning system of Bach et al since it is known that a flow control member with a divergent portion adapted to modify the cleaning fluid flow so that the internal portions of the filter cartridge are subjected to substantially uniform pressure exerted by the cleaning fluid flow, hence. increasing the filtration efficiency.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Nemesi et al (4,789,387) disclose a dust collector.
- Merritt (5,002,594) discloses a filter pulse-down cartridge cleaning mechanism.
- Klimczak (5,062,867) discloses a method of retrofitting a dust collecting apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) Application/Control Number: 10/731,556

Art Unit: 1724

272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit : 1724 March 25, 2005